





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/858,267	05/15/2001	Yasuo Tatsumi	12109.44US01	2685
75	90 08/23/2002			
Merchant & Gould P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			SPITZER, ROBERT H	
Millineapolis, M	IN 33402-0903			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002	
				/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R-7

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/858,267**

Applicant(s)

Yasuo Tatsumi et al.

Examiner

Robert H. Spitzer

Art Unit 1724

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>THREE</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
- If the p	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- If NO p	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Алу гер	bly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CTN 1.704(8).				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 15, 2	002			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 6-8 and 10-12	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 6-8 and 10-12	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers	·			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	application from the International Burea				
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		4) Theories Summan (DTO 412) Pages No.(-)			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					
٠٠. ت					

Application/Control Number: 09/858,267

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found 1. in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 6-8 and 10-12 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the apparatus of either Sircar (5,071,449), who show particles of 0.2 to 1 mm size, or Rouge et al. (5,891,218), who show in Figs. 2-5 and Tables III and VII, particles (balls) of adsorbent with diameters of 1 mm., or Gmelin et al. (5,228,888), who show adsorbent particles with a diameter of 10 to 40 mesh, or Horooka et al. (5,122,164), who show preferred adsorbent particle sizes of 12 to 20 mesh, at col. 3, lines 29-37. Puting those particle values into the velocity equation will result in values which are the same as Applicants' particles.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed August 15, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifying the equation for calculating the velocity values does not provide any structure to the adsorber housing, as such recited structure is merely a housing that contains the specific size of adsorbent particles. That is, the claims recite structure which includes an adsorber housing containing adsorbent particles of a specific size. That specific size is 1 mm. All of the above references show an adsorber housing containing adsorbent particles having that size and being used in an adsorption process. It appears, at best, that the velocity equation would be a process variable and not an apparatus limiting variable, as the equation adds nothing to the basic structure being recited in these claims. Further, as all four references have particle values within those recited in these claims, the references would also have to have velocity values which satisfy

Application/Control Number: 09/858,267

Art Unit: 1724

the equation. Any other remaks made by Applicants and not specifically commented on by the Eaminer have been considered.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Spitzer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Simmons, can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 and for After Final communications the fax number is (703) 872-9311.

Application/Control Number: 09/858,267

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Robert H. Spitzer

August 22, 2002

Plut H. Spy ROBERT H. SPITZER PRIMARY EXAMINER

T.C. 1700 August 22, 2002